

Is bone scintigraphy necessary in initial staging of prostate cancer patients?

Ljiljana Jaukovic¹ MD,
Boris Ajdinovic¹ MD,
Snezana Cerovic² MD,
Mirjana Joksimovic¹ MD,
Zivko Soldatovic³ MD

1. Institute of Nuclear Medicine,
2. Center of Pathology and
Forensic Medicine and
3. Clinic of Urology, Military
Medical Academy, Crnotravska 17,
11000 Belgrade, Serbia

Key words: Prostate cancer

- Nuclear oncology
- Bone scintigraphy
- PSA - Gleason score

Correspondence address:

Ljiljana Jaukovic MD,
E-mail: lilaj2805@gmail.com,
Tel: 381113609109
Fax: 381112666164

Received:

15 January 2011

Accepted revised:

10 March 2011

Abstract

Our aim was to determine whether serum prostate specific antigen (PSA) and total Gleason score (GS) on biopsy in newly diagnosed prostate cancer (PCa) can predict osseous metastases and eliminate the need for a bone scan as a routine procedure in initial staging. *We studied* retrospectively 155 patients with previously untreated PCa who underwent bone scintigraphy. Relationship between PSA, GS and bone metastases was examined. Sensitivity, specificity, likelihood ratio (LR) and odds ratio (OR) were calculated with corresponding 95% confidence interval. *Results showed* that thirty of all bone scans (19.35%) were positive for metastases. This proportion was significantly higher in patients with PSA>20ng/mL (31.66%, P=0.002) vs. PSA<10ng/mL (10.52%). For PSA<10ng/mL as well as 10ng/mL≤PSA≤20ng/mL the test was not a predictor for bone metastases (OR=0.36; OR=0.55). For PSA>20 ng/mL (OR=3.53) the likelihood of bone metastases was increased by 13%. The proportion of positive scintigraphy findings was significantly lower in patients with GS≤7 (11.47%) vs. GS≥8 (48.48%, P<0.0001). The GS≥8 was highly predictive for bone metastases (OR=7.260). The analysis showed that GS≥8 increases the risk of bone metastases by 29%. *In conclusion*, bone scintigraphy is not necessary in asymptomatic patients with localized disease, GS≤6 and PSA<10ng/mL, because of the negligible risk of bone metastases in that stage. Higher levels of GS and PSA may predict bone metastases.

Hell J Nucl Med 2011; 14(2):

Published on line: 22 June 2011

Introduction

Prostate cancer (PCa) is the most common malignant tumour in men with estimate incidence of 11% in Europe and mortality of 9% of the male population [1, 2]. The main diagnostic tools used for diagnosing PCa are digital rectal examination, serum concentrations of prostate specific antigen (PSA) and transrectal prostate biopsy [3]. The TNM system is most commonly used to prostate cancer staging. Another scheme often used by clinicians is the Whitmore-Jewett stage: patients are categorized as those with low and high risk who are much more likely to have skeletal metastases. Bone scintigraphy (BS) has been routinely performed in patients with initial staging of prostate cancer and considered as optimal method for evaluating bone metastases. The extent of osseous metastatic disease from PCa is an independent prognostic factor [4]. The threshold level of serum PSA which can be connected with increased risk of PCa is still not clearly defined. Many doctors are now using the following ranges: low level PSA: 0-2.5ng/mL, slightly to moderately elevated PSA: 2.6-10ng/mL, moderately elevated PSA: 10-19.9ng/mL and significantly elevated PSA: 20ng/mL or more [5, 6]. This approach reflects that PCa may also be associated with low levels of PSA. According to the recommendations of the American Urological Association BS is not required in the initial staging of asymptomatic patients with clinically localized disease and PSA values lower than 20ng/mL [7].

The European Urological Association also does not recommend BS in asymptomatic patients with highly and medium developed tumour and PSA values lower than 20ng/mL [8]. The Japanese Association recommends BS in patients who have PSA value of 10ng/mL and greater in the same group of patients [9], indicating that BS may be useful in the initial diagnosis of patients from this group. The controversy on which patients, the BS should be performed still exists. The number of patients with PCa is constantly rising and a more critical consideration of diagnostic algorithms in these patients is welcomed.

The aim of this study was to evaluate serum PSA levels and Gleason score (GS) on biopsy in predicting skeletal metastatic spread detected by BS in patients with newly diagnosed prostate cancer.

Patients and methods

We retrospectively studied assessment of 155 patients (range 51-87 years, median age of 72 years) with newly diagnosed untreated PCa who underwent bone scintigraphy between 2006 and 2008. Serum PSA measurement was performed prior of the biopsy using fluoroimmunoassay technique (ProStatus™ PSA Free/Total kit). Prostate cancer was diagnosed by histology examination of specimens obtained by core needle biopsy and evaluated according to the Gleason grading system. Patients were stratified on the basis of PSA level, GS, histological grade of PCa, clinical stage (Whitmore-Jewett) and TNM classification according to the current American Joint Commission on Cancer (AJCC) staging category [10]. Planar images of the entire skeleton were acquired three hours after intravenous injection of 740MBq technetium- 99m-medronate (MDP) or dicarboxypropandiphosphate (DPD) using a dual-head camera (ADAC-Vertex V60). Scintigraphic findings were interpreted by two nuclear medicine specialists (LJ, BA) and were evaluated as positive or negative for bone metastases under proclaimed criteria [11]. BS findings with lesions due to trauma, rheumatic or degenerative skeletal diseases or suspected of bone metastases were considered negative.

Comparison of the detection rate of bone metastases in the different groups of PCa patients was performed using Chi-square test and Fisher's test with $P < 0.01$ being statistically significant. Diagnostic tests analysis was performed according to the 2x2 tables. Likelihood ratio (LR) and diagnostic odds ratio (OR) were calculated and analyzed in the interval of confidence of 95%. The concept of LR has been suggested as an alternative method to assess the predictive properties of a test, having the direct relationship to sensitivity and specificity. The LR for a positive test result (LH+) could be calculated as sensitivity divided by 1 minus the specificity value. The LR for a negative test result (LH-) is obtained as (1-sensitivity) divided by specificity. A likelihood ratio of 1 implies that the test result is equally likely to occur among patients with the disease as in patients without the disease. The diagnostic odds ratio (OR) is calculated as LH+ divided by LH- therefore the OR provides a robust measure for dichotomous outcomes and test results [12, 13].

On the basis of these data the evaluation of posttest probability for bone metastases was made with different PSA values and total GS as separate and as associated variables.

Results

All the subjects had histologically confirmed cancer. Clinical stage of the disease was available in 114/155 patients. Sixty six (57.8%) of the patients had a tumour with no spread outside the prostatic capsule (Stage A-B). Locally advanced (Stage C) and metastatic disease (Stage D) were diagnosed in 28 (24.6%) and 20 (17.6%) patients, respectively. The number of patients with disease in each clinical stage (stage A-D) and histological grade (G1-G4) of PCa is given in Table 1. The median PSA serum level was 14.2ng/mL (range 1.1 -2200).

Scintigraphy findings were interpreted as negative for metastases in 113 patients. Equivocal findings (up to two hot spots) were obtained in 12/155 patients (7.7%), requir-

Table 1. Relationship between bone metastases, clinical stage at diagnosis and pathological grade of PCa

Variables	Bone metastases		Total
	Negative n	Positive n	
Number of patients	125	30	155
Clinical stage			
A	5	0	5
B, B1, B2	54	7	61
C, C+	25	3	28
D2	5	15	20
Unknown	36	5	41
Grade			
G1	1	0	1
G2	81	10	91
G3	42	20	62
G4	1	0	1

ing computed tomography (CT). In statistical analysis equivocal findings were considered as negative for metastases.

The relationships between bone metastases and a) clinical-pathology stage, b) serum PSA and c) GS are found in tables 1,2 and 3 respectively.

Thirty of all bone scans (19.35%) were positive for metastases. Serum PSA <10ng/mL as well as 10ng/mL ≤ PSA ≤ 20ng/mL was not a predictor of bone metastases (OR= 0.36; OR =0.55). The PSA > 20ng/mL (OR=3.53) changes the likelihood for bone metastases due to PCa by 13%. Unlike the serum PSA level, our results showed that GS was predictive for bone metastases. The proportion of positive scintigraphy finding was significantly lower in patients with GS ≤ 7 (11.47%) vs. GS ≥ 8 (48.48%, $P < 0.0001$). Gleason score ≥ 8 was highly predictive for bone metastases in PCa (OR=7.260, 2.733 to 19.157). At the same time, calculated post testing probability indicates that the GS ≥ 8 increases the risk for bone metastasis for 29 %.

In the analysis using the combination of GS and PSA level, highly significant difference of positive bone scan between the group of patients with GS ≤ 7 and PSA <10ng/mL and the group with GS ≥ 8 and PSA ≥ 10ng/mL, was obtained ($P < 0.0001$). Diagnostic test analysis showed the likelihood ratio of 4.666 (2.478 to 8.923), indicating that PCa in patient with GS ≤ 7 at biopsy and serum PSA ≤ 10ng/mL is almost 9 times less likely to harbor bone metastases.

We tested the frequency of bone metastasis in patients with GS ≤ 7 and different cut off value of serum PSA. Four of 47 (8.5%) men with PSA <10ng/mL, 3/30 (10%) men with 10ng/mL ≤ PSA ≤ 20ng/mL and 7/45 (15.5%) men with PSA >20ng/mL had bone metastases. Patients with GS ≤ 7 and bone metastases had significantly more frequent serum PSA >20 ng/mL.

Table 2. Relationship between bone metastases and serum PSA level as the clinical variable

Variables	Total	Bone metastases		P value	sensitivity (%)	95% CI	Specificity (%)	95% CI	OR	95%CI
		Negative n (%)	Positive n (%)							
Number of patients	155	125 (80.70)	30 (19.30)							
Serum PSA										
< 10	57	51(89.48)	6 (10.52)	0.034	20.00	7.71–38.57	59.2	50.05–67.90	0.36	0.11–1.00
10–20	38	33(86.85)	5 (13.15)	0.266	16.67	5.64–34.72	73.6	64.90–81.08	0.55	0.15–1.65
> 20	60	41(68.34)	19 (31.66)	0.002	63.33	43.85–80.07	67.2	58.23–75.33	3.53	1.43–8.98

Table 3. Relationship between bone metastases and GS as the pathological variable

Variables	Total	Bone scintigraphy		P value	Sensitivity (%)	95% CI	Specificity (%)	95% CI	OR	95% CI
		Negative n (%)	Positive n (%)							
Number of patients	155	125 (80.70)	30 (19.30)							
Gleason score										
≤5	7	6 (85.80)	1 (14.20)							
6	40	34 (85.00)	6 (15.00)	< 0.0001	46.67	28.34–65.67	13.6	8.13–20.88	0.13	0.052–0.365
7	75	68 (81.67)	7 (9.33)							
8	17	8 (47.06)	9 (52.94)	< 0.0001	53.33	34.33–71.66	86.4	79.12–91.87	7.26	2.733–19.157
9–10	16	9 (56.25)	7 (43.75)							

Bone metastases were found in 6/57 patients with serum PSA<10ng/mL with 20% sensitivity and 59.2% specificity. Four of these 6 patients had GS ≥7, G2 and G3 grade of the disease and B and D2 clinical stage of the disease. Two patients had GS 6 and B clinical stage of disease. In the group of patients with 10ng/mL≤PSA≤20ng/mL, bone metastases were found in 5 patients (sensitivity 16.67% and specificity 73.6%) with D2 clinical stage (3 patients) and one in the C2 clinical stage, while one patient’s clinical stage was unknown. Total GS≥7 and the G3 grade was in all patients except for one. Table 2 and Table 3 summarize the sensitivity, specificity and odds ratio along 95% confidence interval of serum PSA and GS in 155 patients with PCa.

Discussion

Most patients with newly diagnosed prostate cancer have clinically localized disease at presentation. Despite the widespread PSA testing and the use of extended-pattern prostate biopsy techniques over the last fifteen years, there are still patients who already have bone metastases at the time of diagnosis of PCa [14]. Early detection or exclusion of bone metastases in PCa patients is of high clinical importance for initial treatment and the later course of disease.

Among 155 patients included in our study, bone metastases were detected in 30 patients (19.35%). Because of correlation between the incidence of bone metastases and var-

ables such as serum PSA, histological grade, clinical stage and Gleason score at biopsy, BS may not be necessary for patients who are at low risk for bone metastases. Numerous studies evaluated PSA as a predictor of the presence of bone metastasis. Data from study on randomly chosen 521 patients with newly diagnosed, untreated PCa showed that PSA value was the best predictor compared to other clinical variables. Metastases were found in only one of 307 patients with total serum PSA values $<20\text{ng/mL}$, reaching negative predictive value of 99.7% [15]. In another study, bone deposits were found in only 7 of 852 patients (0.8%) with $\text{PSA} \leq 20\text{ng/mL}$ [16].

The results of other studies question whether a staging bone scan could be omitted in newly diagnosed prostate cancer patients with PSA of 20ng/mL and less, as bone deposits were found in even 17.5% of patients with PSA values $<10\text{ng/mL}$ [17]. Analyzing the 23 studies that dealt with bone scintigraphy in the initial staging in patients with PCa, bone metastases were detected in 2.3%, 5.3% and 16.2% of patients with $\text{PSA} < 10\text{ng/mL}$, $10\text{ng/mL} \leq \text{PSA} \leq 20\text{ng/mL}$ and $\text{PSA} > 20\text{ng/mL}$, respectively [18]. In our study bone metastases have been found in 10.52%, 13.15% and 31.66% of patients with $\text{PSA} < 10\text{ng/mL}$, $10\text{ng/mL} \leq \text{PSA} \leq 20\text{ng/mL}$ and $\text{PSA} > 20\text{ng/mL}$, which is more frequent when compared with literature data [18-21]. It must be noted that these studies had higher proportion of patients with localized disease, as compared to our study. The prevalence of bone metastases increased with the elevation of serum PSA, clinical stage and histological grade of prostate tumour. However, bone metastases were found in 11 patients with PSA values $\leq 20\text{ng/mL}$ (6 patients with $\text{PSA} < 10\text{ng/mL}$, and 5 patients with $10\text{ng/mL} \leq \text{PSA} \leq 20\text{ng/mL}$). Gleason grading of prostate cancer was available for all patients. As expected, patients with bone metastases had significantly more frequent $\text{GS} \geq 8$ at biopsy ($P < 0.0001$), which is consistent with published results [22].

The combination of prognostic factors in PCa patients was studied by others [23, 24]. They concluded that in patients with $\text{PSA} \leq 20$, incorporation of clinical stage of disease and GS into prediction of bone metastases may reduce the number of BS in initial staging, without making a misdiagnosis [23]. Unlike used alone, combining the prognostic value of serum PSA level, GS, TNM staging and BS leads to a better prognosis in PCa patients [24].

According to the protocol of the European Urologic Association the bone scan in initial staging may not be indicated in asymptomatic patients if the serum PSA level is $<20\text{ng/mL}$ in the presence of well or moderately differentiated tumors [25]. Based on our results with previously defined criteria—omission of scintigraphy in the initial staging, bone deposits would be “missed” in 3 out of 155 patients (1.9%).

If we assume the cut-off value of serum PSA at 10ng/mL , and GS on biopsy ≥ 6 as criteria for the bone scan, deposits would have not been diagnosed in only 2 out of 155 patients (1.3%). In our opinion this percentage is of no importance for treatment modality. Our determination of avoiding the bone scan in those patients is in concordance to the recommendations of the Japanese Urological Society [9], as well as the guidelines supported by recent studies [26].

In conclusion, bone scintigraphy is not necessary in initial staging of asymptomatic PCa patients with localized disease, Gleason score at biopsy ≤ 6 , and PSA values $<10\text{ng/mL}$,

because of the negligible risk of bone metastatic spread. The combination of GS and PSA level enhance predictability of bone scan findings in initial staging of prostate cancer patients.

All authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Bibliography

1. Ferlay J, Parkin DM, Steliarova-Foucher E. Estimates of cancer incidence and mortality in Europe in 2008. *Eur J Cancer* 2010; 46(4): 765-81.
2. Bray F, Sankila R, Ferlay J, Parkin DM. Estimates of cancer incidence and mortality in Europe in 1995. *Eur J Cancer* 2002; 38(1): 99-166.
3. Smith RA, Cokkinides V, Eyre HJ. Cancer screening in the United States, 2007: a review of current guidelines, practices, and prospects. *Cancer J Clin* 2007; 57(2): 90-104.
4. Rigaud J, Tiguert R, Le Normand L et al. Prognostic value of bone scan in patients with metastatic prostate cancer treated initially with androgen deprivation therapy. *J Urol* 2002; 168(4 Pt 1): 1423-6.
5. Bantis A, Vasilou O. Prostate cancer incidence, mortality total and free prostate specific antigen. *Hell J Nucl Med* 2009; 12(2): 106-9.
6. Aus G, Becker C, Franzin S et al. Cumulative prostate cancer risk assessment with the aid of the free-to-total prostate specific antigen ratio. *Eur Urol* 2004; 45(2): 160-5.
7. PSA Best Practice Policy Task Force from the American Urological Association. Prostate-Specific Antigen (PSA) Best Practice Policy. Available from: <http://www.cancernetwork.com/journals/oncology/o0002e.htm>
8. Aus G, Abbou CC, Bolla M et al. EAU guidelines on prostate cancer. *Eur Urol* 2005; 48(4): 546-51.
9. The Japanese Urological Association. Prostate Cancer: *Clinical Practice Guideline*. Tokyo: Kanehara Shuppan Kabushikikaisha 2006. p. 59-60. (Japanese)
10. Sobin LH, Wittekind CH. *TNM Classification of Malignant Tumours*. 6th edn. New York, NY John Wiley&Sons; 2002.
11. Krasnow AZ, Hellman RS, Timins ME et al. Diagnostic bone scanning in oncology. *Semin Nucl Med* 1997; 27(2): 107-41.
12. McGee S. Simplifying likelihood ratios. *J Gen Intern Med* 2002; 17(8): 646-9.
13. Fischer J, Bachmann L, Jaeschke R. A readers' guide to the interpretation of diagnostic test properties: clinical example of sepsis. *Intensive Care Med* 2003; 29: 1043-51.
14. Ryan CJ, Elkin EP, Small EJ et al. Reduced incidence of bony metastasis at initial prostate cancer diagnosis: data from CaPSURE. *Urol Oncol* 2006; 24(5): 396-402.
15. Chybowski FM, Keller JJ, Bergstralh EJ et al. Predicting radionuclide bone scan findings in patients with newly diagnosed, untreated prostate cancer: prostate specific antigen is superior to all other clinical parameters. *J Urol* 1991; 145(2): 313-8.
16. Oesterling JE, Martin SK, Bergstralh EJ et al. The use of prostate-specific antigen in staging patients with newly diagnosed prostate cancer. *JAMA* 1993; 269(1): 57-60.
17. Wolff JM, Zimny M, Borchers H et al. Is prostate-specific antigen a reliable marker of bone metastasis in patients with newly diagnosed cancer of the prostate? *Eur Urol* 1998; 33(4): 376-81.
18. Abuzalouf S, Dayes I, Lukka H. Baseline staging of newly diagnosed prostate cancer: a summary of the literature. *J Urol* 2004; 171(6 Pt 1): 2122-7.

19. Klätte T, Klätte D, Böhm M, Allhoff EP. Radionuclide bone scan in patients with newly diagnosed prostate cancer. Clinical aspects and cost analysis. *Urologe A* 2006; 45(10): 1293-4, 1296-9.
20. Matsumura Y, Otani T, Yoneda T et al. The criteria for avoiding unnecessary computerized tomography and bone scan in staging patients with newly diagnosed prostate cancer: retrospective study of patients at Matsusaka Chuo General Hospital. *Nippon Hinyokika Gakkai Zasshi* 2007; 98(6): 764-9.
21. Pal RP, Thiruduaiyan T, Khan MA. When is a bone scan study appropriate in asymptomatic men diagnosed with prostate cancer? *Asian J Androl* 2008; 10(6): 890-5.
22. Salonia A, Gallina A, Camerota TC et al. Bone metastases are infrequent in patients with newly diagnosed prostate cancer: analysis of their clinical and pathologic features. *Urology* 2006; 68(2): 362-6.
23. Hirobe M, Takahashi A, Hisasue S et al. Bone Scanning-Who Needs it Among Patients with Newly Diagnosed Prostate Cancer? *Jpn J Clin Oncol* 2007; 37(10): 788-92.
24. Bantis A, Zissimopoulos A, Kalailzis C et al. Four prognostic indices in advanced prostate cancer patients, under palliative androgen deprivation treatment. *Hell J Nucl Med* 2008; 11(1): 21-5.
25. Heidenreich A, Aus G, Bolla M et al. EAU guidelines on prostate cancer. *Actas Urol Esp* 2009; 33(2): 113-26.
26. Hricak H, Choyke PL, Eberhardt SC et al. Imaging prostate cancer: a multidisciplinary perspective. *Radiology* 2007; 243(1): 28-53. 