

¹¹¹In-pentetreotide SPET/CT in carcinoid tumours: is the role of hybrid systems advantageous in abdominal or thoracic lesions?

Cristina Tranfaglia¹ MD, Laura Cardinali¹ MD, Maurizio Gattucci¹ MD, Michele Scialpi^{2,3} MD, Piero Ferolla^{3,4} MD, PhD, Helmut Sinzinger⁵ MD, PhD, Barbara Palumbo^{1,3} MD

1. Nuclear Medicine Section and

2. Radiology Section, Department of Surgical, Radiological and Odontostomatological Sciences, University of Perugia, Italy

3. Centre of Excellence for Italy – Region of Umbria of the European Neuroendocrine Tumor Society (ENETS)

4. Internal Medicine, Endocrinology and Metabolism, "S. Maria della Misericordia" Perugia Hospital and University of Perugia, Italy

5. Department of Nuclear Medicine, University of Vienna, Austria

Keywords: Neuroendocrine tumours

- Carcinoid - SPET

- SPET/CT - ¹¹¹In-pentetreotide

Correspondence address:

Prof. Barbara Palumbo Nuclear Medicine Section, Department of Surgical, Radiological and Odontostomatological Sciences, University of Perugia, Italy "S. Maria della Misericordia" Perugia Hospital, S. Andrea Delle Fratte Perugia, Italy

Phone: 0039-075-5783225, Fax: 0039-075-5783646, E-mail: mednuc@unipg.it

Received: 1 July 2011, Accepted revised: 10 September 2011

Abstract

Our aim was to evaluate the different clinical value of ¹¹¹In-pentetreotide hybrid SPET/CT versus SPET alone in detecting carcinoid tumours located in the thoracic and abdominal region. *Twenty-four patients* with carcinoid tumours histologically proven (13 of abdominal origin, 11 of thoracic origin) underwent ¹¹¹In-pentetreotide SPET/CT with hybrid system (Millennium VG with Hawkeye, G.E.M.S., USA) composed of a dual head gamma camera equipped with a low dose X-ray tube. Single photon emission tomography images were performed 4h and 24h after ¹¹¹In-pentetreotide intravenous administration, while SPET/CT co-registered images were performed at 4h. Scintigraphic images were first evaluated alone and then re-interpreted by adding transmission fused data. *Nine of the 13 patients* with tumours of abdominal origin showed pathological SPET images, while 4/13 were negative. Seven out of the 11 patients with tumour of thoracic origin had pathological SPET findings, while 4/11 were negative. In all, 11/24 subjects disclosed abdominal pathological uptake and 10/24 thoracic. In 6/11 abdominal cases SPET/CT allowed anatomical localization of lesions, while in 2/10 in thoracic cases. Additional data were provided by SPET/CT in 8/24 cases (6 abdominal, 2 thoracic), by transmission images characterized as lesions not expressing somatostatin receptors. Sensitivity of SPET alone in all carcinoids was 72%, negative predictive value (NPV) was 50% and accuracy was 78%. Considering abdominal lesions (independently of the origin) sensitivity of SPET alone was 64.7%, NPV was 40%, accuracy was 71.4%. For thoracic lesions sensitivity of SPET alone was 83.3%, NPV was 66.7% and accuracy was 87.5%. For SPET/CT considering together all carcinoids and also separately lesions of abdominal and of thoracic origin, sensitivity, NPV and accuracy were always 100%. *In conclusion*, SPET/CT imaging was more useful to anatomically detect carcinoids either in abdomen or in thorax and specifically lesions not expressing somatostatin receptors, as compared to SPET alone.

Hell J Nucl Med 2011; 14(3): 274-277

Abstracted on line: 10 November 2011