

# Sensitivity of PET/MR images in liver metastases with advanced colorectal carcinoma

Tu Wen-Yong<sup>1</sup> MD, PhD, Zhang Zhi-Yuan<sup>1\*</sup> MD, PhD, Zeng Jun<sup>2</sup> MD, PhD, Zhang Lin<sup>1</sup> MD, Wang Zhong-He<sup>1</sup> MD, Zheng Juanqi<sup>3</sup> MD, PhD

1. Dept. of Radiotherapy, Shanghai 9th Hospital, Jiaotong University, Shanghai, 200011

2. Dept. of Clinical Imaging, Yiren Cancer Hospital at Wuxi, Jiangsu, 214172

3. Tongji University, Shanghai, 200092

Keywords: PET/MRI

- Image fusion - Liver metastases - Advanced colorectal cancer

**Correspondence address:**

Zhang Zhi-Yuan 1\* MD, PhD

Tel: 86-21-23271699, Cell phone: 13918907981, Fax: 86-21-23271699, Email: TU4YOU@163.com

Zheng Jun-Qi 3\*, Grant: Shanghai Leading Academic Discipline Fund (Issue number: Y0203)

Received: 12 May 2011, Accepted revised: 12 October 2011

## Abstract

*Our aim* was to evaluate the sensitivity of positron emission tomography/magnetic resonance image (PET/MRI) in the detection of liver metastases in patients with advanced colorectal cancer as compared with computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), PET and PET/CT images. From April 2008 to April 2010, twenty-four patients (mean age  $56.5 \pm 10.5$  years) with liver metastases from advanced colorectal cancer diagnosed by pathology were retrospectively studied as above. All image data were respectively collected and fused. PET/CT and PET/MRI fusion images were successfully performed with a PET-MR-CT robot transmission-fusion imaging system. Pathologic findings and clinical follow-up were performed as referenced standards. Images were reviewed independently by at least three experts. *We found* a total number of 121 metastatic lesions and 35 of them, with a maximum diameter less than 1cm. According to a per-lesion analysis, the sensitivity on liver metastases was 64.5%, 80.2% and 54.5% on CT, MRI and PET, respectively. Based on reconstruction imaging analysis, PET/CT and PET/MRI showed sensitivities of 84.2% and 98.3%. Sensitivity comparison of PET/MRI had superior sensitivity of 98.08%. Paired data analysis (McNemar) resulted a type I error which equated to 0.05. There was a statistically significant difference between CT and MRI or PET for the detection of patients with liver metastatic lesions ( $P < 0.05$ ). However, PET/MRI can efficiently detect more metastatic lesions than PET/CT ( $P < 0.05$ ) among those with diameter  $< 1$ cm. *In conclusion*, PET/MRI was a quite efficient diagnostic modality compared to conventional imaging modalities and should be considered the procedure of choice in the detection of liver metastatic lesions in advanced colorectal cancer.

*Hell J Nucl Med* 2011; 14(3): 264-268

*Abstracted on line: 10 November 2011*